

### Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

**Application No.**

09/987,357

**Applicant(s)**

CARMICHAEL ET AL.

**Examiner**

Gerald G Leffers Jr., PhD

**Art Unit**

1636

**All Participants:**(1) Gerald G. Leffers Jr., PhD.(2) William Straus.**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 25 February 2004**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

25

Prior art documents discussed:

*Stricklin et al.***Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner confirmed to Mr. Straus the Notice/NonResponsive Amendment sent 2/3/04 was incorrect & the amendment filed 1/30/2004 was responsive, as per our conversation on or about 2/11/2004. Mr. Straus gave approval for an examiner's amendment to put claim 25 in condition for allowance.